



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,470	04/13/2004	Bruce Neil Campbell	200314238-1	4587
22879 7590 09/20/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER LEE, WILSON	
			ART UNIT 2163	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/20/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/823,470	Applicant(s) CAMPBELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Wilson Lee	Art Unit 2163	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Regarding Claim 1, Nelson discloses a computer system comprising:

computer-readable media (display) (See fig. 1),

- a computer executable reader program (“Microsoft Internet Explorer”) (fig. 3)
for rendering information in a computer readable document file in
human-cognizable form, said reader program being stored on said
computer-readable media, said reader program including
 - o a document parser (“Web browser”, paragraph 0031) for identifying a
network location (all web pages have “URL”. Also see paragraph 0031)
specified by an original version (Note: original version is interpreted as a
version of the index or home page which it is accessed by the client for the
first time, paragraphs 0031-0034) of said document file (html file),
 - o a network interface (“internet”) (fig. 1) for accessing an updated version (Note:
updated version is interpreted as a version of the index or home page which is
accessed by the client after the first time. The server then constructs an HTTP
response message upon receiving the client’s request. Company’s home page
is always updated periodically) of said document file via said network

location (“selecting a HyperText link to that URL..”) (paragraphs 0031-0034);

and

- a renderer (“router”, fig. 1) for causing information in said updated version to be rendered in human-cognizable form (“human-readable text, html document..”) (paragraph 0034).

Regarding Claim 2, Nelson discloses that said reader program further includes a host interface for receiving a help request from a local version of a host application (“a user may also access a user guide (help page)...” is considered as a help request. (See paragraphs 0047, 0052), said document file being a help file for said host application (the help file will be presented on the company’s web page) (fig. 6), said updated version of said document file being a more recent version of said document file (company’s web page) for a more recent version of said host program (the help file will be presented on the company’s web page).

Regarding Claim 3, Nelson discloses that said document is in pdf or html format (See paragraph 0034).

Regarding Claim 4, Nelson discloses that said reader program further includes a host interface for receiving a help request from a local version of a host application (such act of “a user may also access a user guide (help page)...” is considered as a help request. (See paragraphs 0047, 0052), said document file being a help file (texts, images, animations or other help will be presented to user on the web page when user selects the user guide) (paragraph 0052, fig. 6) for said host application, said updated version of said document file being a more-recent version of said document for said local version of said host application. (the help file will be presented on the company’s web page).

Regarding Claim 5, Nelson discloses that said host interface also provides for receiving a version identification (“version identification” is interpreted as a response from the server) for said host application from said host application (from the server) (See paragraphs 0031-0034, 0047, 0051).

Regarding Claim 6, Nelson discloses a computer-implemented method comprising:

- accessing an original version (Note: original version is interpreted as a version of the index or home page which it is accessed by the client for the first time) of a document file (i.e. html file), said original version of said document file specifying a network location (URL) (paragraph 0031),
- accessing an updated version (Note: updated version is interpreted as a version of the index or home page which is accessed by the client after the first time.

The server then constructs an HTTP response message upon receiving the client’s request. Company’s home page is always updated periodically) of said document file via said network location (“selecting a HyperText link to that URL..”), said updated version having updated information (inherent feature) (See paragraphs 0031-0034); and

- rendering said updated information in human-cognizable form (“human-readable text, html document..”) (paragraph 0034).

Regarding Claim 7, Nelson discloses the method further comprising receiving a help request from a local version of a host application (“a user may also access a user guide (help page)...” is considered as a help request. (See paragraphs 0047, 0052), said accessing an original version (the index or home page which is accessed by the client for the first time)

occurring in response to said help request (texts, images, animations or other help will be presented to user when user selects the user guide) (paragraph 0052), said updated version corresponding to a more-recent version of said document file for a more-recent version of said host application (the help file will be presented on the company's web page) (fig. 6).

Regarding Claim 8, Nelson discloses that said document is in html or pdf format (See paragraph 0034).

Regarding Claim 9, Nelson discloses the method further comprising receiving a help request from a local version of a host application (such act of "a user may also access a user guide (help page)..." is considered as a help request. (See paragraphs 0047, 0052), said accessing an original version (the index or home page which is accessed by the client for the first time) occurring in response to said help request (texts, images, animations or other help will be presented to user when user selects the user guide) (paragraph 0052), said updated version corresponding to a more-recent version of said document file for said local version of said host application (the help file will be presented on the company's web page) (fig. 6).

Regarding Claim 10, Nelson discloses the method further comprising receiving version identification ("version identification" is interpreted as a response from the server) for said host application from said host application (from the server) (See paragraphs 0031-0034, 0047, 0051).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Wilson Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1824.

Papers related to the application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Wilson Lee
Primary Examiner
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

9/17/07